arXiv:math/0507137v1 [math.AC] 7 Jul 2005

Local Homology, Cohen-Macaulayness and Cohen-Macaulayfications

`Abstract:` let $(R,\mathfrak{m})$ be a local, complete ring, X an artinian R-module of Noetherian dimension d;let $x_1,\dots,x_d \in \mathfrak{m}$ be such that $0 :_X (x_1,\dots,x_d)R$ has finite length. Then $\mathrm{H}^{\underline{x}}_d(X)$ is a finite R-module, providing a positive answer to a question posed by Tang. As a first application of this result corollary 1 contains a necessary condition for a finite module to be Cohen-Macaulay; secondly we propose a notion of Cohen-Macaulayfication and prove its uniqueness (theorem 3); finally we show that this new notion of Cohen-Macaulayfication is a direct generalization of a notion of Cohen-Macaulayfication introduced by Goto (theorem 4).

For the sake of completeness we repeat the relevant notions: Throughout this paper $(R,\mathfrak{m})$ is a noetherian, local, complete ring. If M is an R-module and I an ideal of R, we denote the i-th local cohomology module of M with support in I by $\mathrm{H}^i_I(M)$. It is well-known that $\mathrm{H}^{\dim(M)}_I(M)$ is artinian for any proper ideal I of R provided M is finitely generated as R-module (cf. Melkersson [13]).

There is a theory of local homology modules (cf. Tang [18] and [19]): If X is an artinian R-module and $\underline{x} = x_1,\dots,x_r$ is a sequence of elements in $\mathfrak{m}$, the i-th local homology module $\mathrm{H}^{\underline{x}}_i(X)$ of X with respect to $\underline{x}$ is defined by

$$\varprojlim_{n\in\mathbf{N}} H_i(K_\bullet(x_1^n,\dots,x_r^n;X)) \quad ,$$

where $K_\bullet(x_1^n,\dots,x_r^n;X)$ is the Koszul complex of X with respect to $x_1^n,\dots,x_r^n$; then $\mathrm{H}^{\underline{x}}_i(\ )$ is an R-linear, covariant functor from artinian R-modules to R-modules.

We repeat the notions of Noetherian dimension $\mathrm{N.dim}(X)$ and width of X, $\mathrm{width}(X)$: For $X = 0$ one puts $\mathrm{N.dim}(X) = -1$, for $X \neq 0$ $\mathrm{N.dim}(X)$ denotes the least integer r such that $0 :_X (x_1,\dots,x_r)R$ has finite length for some $x_1,\dots,x_r \in \mathfrak{m}$. Now let $x_1,\dots,x_n \in \mathfrak{m}$. $x_1,\dots,x_n$ is an X-coregular sequence if

$$0 :_X (x_1,\dots,x_{i-1})R \xrightarrow{x_i} 0 : X(x_1,\dots,x_{i-1})R$$

is surjective for $i = 1,\dots,n$. $\mathrm{width}(X)$ is defined as the length of a (in fact any) maximal X-coregular sequence in $\mathfrak{m}$. Details on $\mathrm{N.dim}(X)$ and $\mathrm{width}(X)$ can be found in Ooishi [14] and Roberts [15], here we cite one general fact: For any artinian R-module X

$$\mathrm{width}(X) \leq \mathrm{N.dim}(X) < \infty$$

holds and X is co-Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $\mathrm{width}(X) = \mathrm{N.dim}(X)$ holds. Tang has shown ([18], Propostion 2.6) that $\mathrm{H}^{\dim(M)}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ is co-Cohen-Macaulay if M is Cohen-Macaulay and ([18], Theorem 3.1) that $\mathrm{H}^{x_1,\dots,x_d}_{\dim(M)}(\mathrm{H}^{\dim(M)}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)) = M$ holds (here $x_1,\dots,x_d$ is a s. o. p. of M). Tang asks ([18], Remark 3.5) if $\mathrm{H}^{\underline{x}}_d(X)$ is finitely generated if X is an Artinian R-module of N.dimension d and $\underline{x} = x_1,\dots,x_d$ is such that $0 :_X \underline{x}$ has finite length.

We give a positive answer to this question (theorem 1) and draw some consequences establishing various duality results (theorem 2). As an application we present a necessary condition for a given finite R-module M to be Cohen-Macaulay (corollary 2).

For a given R-module M we denote by $D(M)$ the Matlis dual of M; for details on Matlis duality see [3], [4], [5] and [11]. $\underline{x}$ will always stand for a sequence $x_1,\dots,x_d$ in $\mathfrak{m}$.

Theorem 1
Let $(R,\mathfrak{m})$ be a noetherian, local, complete ring, X an artinian R-module of N.dimension d; let $x_1,\dots,x_d \in \mathfrak{m}$ be such that $0 :_X (x_1,\dots,x_d)R$ has finite length. Then $\mathrm{H}^{\underline{x}}_d(X)$ is a finitely generated R-module.

Proof:
$x_1,\dots,x_d$ form a system of parameters for $D(X)$, because $D(X)/(x_1,\dots,x_d)D(X) = D(0:_X (x_1,\dots,x_d)R)$ has finite length and $\dim(D(X)) = \mathrm{N.dim}(X) = d$. Using Matlis-duality we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{H}_d^{\underline{x}}(X) &= \mathrm{H}_d^{\underline{x}}(D(D(X))) \\ &= \varprojlim_{n\in\mathbf{N}} H_d(K_\bullet(x_1^n,\dots,x_d^n;D(D(X)))) \\ &= \varprojlim_{n\in\mathbf{N}} D(H^d(K^\bullet(x_1^n,\dots,x_d^n;D(X)))) \\ &= D(\varinjlim_{n\in\mathbf{N}} H^d(K^\bullet(x_1^n,\dots,x_d^n;D(X)))) \\ &= D(\mathrm{H}^d_{(x_1,\dots,x_d)R}(D(X))) \quad ,\end{aligned}$$

and the last module is finitely generated because $\mathrm{H}^d_{(x_1,\dots,x_d)R}(D(X))$ is artinian.

Corollary 1
If X is co-Cohen-Macaulay, then $\mathrm{H}_d^{x_1,\dots,x_d}(X)$ is a Cohen-Macaulay module. In particular if $d = \dim(R)$, $\mathrm{H}_d^{x_1,\dots,x_d}(X)$ is a maximal Cohen-Macaulay module.
Proof:
The statements follow from theorem 1 and Tang [18], Remark 3.5.

Let $(R,\mathfrak{m})$ be a noetherian, local, complete ring. Let $\mathcal{N}$ (resp. $\mathcal{A}$) denote the set of isomorphism classes of noetherian (resp. of artinian) R-modules. We have maps F_1 and F_2 from $\mathcal{N}$ to $\mathcal{A}$ induced by

$$M \overset{F_1}{\mapsto} \text{Matlis dual of } M$$

and

$$M \overset{F_2}{\mapsto} \mathrm{H}_\mathfrak{m}^{\dim(M)}(M)$$

For F_2 it doesnt make any difference if we take $\mathrm{H}^{\dim(M)}_{(x_1,\dots,x_{\dim(M)})R}(M)$ instead of $\mathrm{H}_\mathfrak{m}^{\dim(M)}(M)$ (for any system of parameters $x_1,\dots,x_{\dim(M)}$ of M). Similarly we have maps G_1 and G_2 from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{N}$ induced by

$$X \overset{G_1}{\mapsto} \text{Matlis-dual of } X$$

and

$$X \overset{G_2}{\mapsto} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N.dim}(X)}^{x_1,\dots,x_{\mathrm{N.dim}(X)}}(X)$$

(here $x_1,\dots,x_{\mathrm{N.dim}(X)}$ are such that $0:_X (x_1,\dots,x_{\mathrm{N.dim}(X)})R$ has finite length). By Matlis-duality we have

$$F_1 \circ G_1 = \mathrm{id}_\mathcal{A}, G_1 \circ F_1 = \mathrm{id}_\mathcal{N} \quad .$$

From the proof of theorem 1 one sees

$$F_1 \circ G_2 = F_2 \circ G_1 =: T$$

and hence

$$G_1 \circ F_2 = G_2 \circ F_1 =: T' \quad ,$$

$$G_2 = G_1 \circ F_2 \circ G_1 = G_1 \circ T, F_2 = F_1 \circ G_2 \circ F_1 = F_1 \circ T' \quad .$$

Theorem 2
Let $(R,\mathfrak{m})$ be a noetherian, local, complete ring. Let M be a noetherian and X an artinian R-module. Then
(i) If M is Cohen-Macaulay, then $F_2(M)$ is co-Cohen-Macaulay.
(ii) If M is Cohen-Macaulay, then $F_1(M)$ is co-Cohen-Macaulay.
(iii) If X is co-Cohen-Macaulay, then $G_2(M)$ is Cohen-Macaulay.

(iv) If X is co-Cohen-Macaulay, then $G_1(M)$ is Cohen-Macaulay.
Proof:
(ii) and (iv) are easily proved using Matlis-duality theory. (i) is proved by Tang ([18], Propostion 2.6) and now (iii) follows from $G_2 = G_1 \circ F_2 \circ G_1$.

Let $\mathcal{N}_0$ (resp. $\mathcal{A}_0$) denote the set of isomorphism classes of noetherian Cohen-Macaulay modules (resp. of artinian co-Cohen-Macaulay modules). Then, by theorem 2, F_1, F_2, G_1, G_2 induce maps between $\mathcal{N}_0$ and $\mathcal{A}_0$ in an obvious way. Tang's theorems 3.1 and 3.4 from [18] imply $F_2 \circ G_2 = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$ and $G_2 \circ F_2 = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{N}_0}$. We deduce $G_1 = G_2 \circ F_1 \circ G_2$, $F_1 = F_2 \circ G_1 \circ F_2, T^2 = \mathrm{id}, T'^2 = \mathrm{id}$ on $\mathcal{N}_0$ and $\mathcal{A}_0$.

As an application there is a necessary condition for a finite module to be Cohen-Macaulay:
Corollary 2
(i) Let ω_R be a dualizing module for R (it exists uniquely up to isomorphism since R is complete). Assume that M is Cohen-Macaulay. Then $\mathrm{Ext}_R^{\dim(R)-\dim(M)}(M, \omega_R)$ is Cohen-Macaulay.
(ii) In particular if there exists an ideal I of R such that $I \subseteq \mathrm{Ann}_R(M)$, $\dim(R/I) = \dim(M)$ and R/I is Gorenstein, Cohen-Macaulayness of M implies Cohen-Macaulayness of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\overline{R}}(M, \overline{R})$ (here $\overline{R} := R/I$). Such an ideal I exists for example if R itself is Gorenstein.
Proof:
The statements follow from local duality and theorem 2.

In a remark following theorem 2 we have seen $G_2 \circ F_2 = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{N}_0}$ and $F_2 \circ G_2 = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$. Now we turn our interest to the question: What can be said about $G_2 \circ F_2$ in general, that is, on $\mathcal{N}$?

Definition Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a noetherian, local, complete ring and M a noetherian (i. e. finitely generated) R-module. Let $\tilde{M}$ be a finitely generated R-module containing M as a submodule. We say $\tilde{M}$ is a Cohen-Macaulayfication of M if the following three conditions hold:
(i) $\tilde{M}$ is Cohen-Macaulay.
(ii) $\dim(\tilde{M}) = \dim(M)$.
(iii) $\mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\dim(M)-1}(\tilde{M}/M) = \mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\dim(M)}(\tilde{M}/M) = 0$ (this condition holds for example if $\dim(\tilde{M}/M) \leq \dim M - 2$).

In the sequel we won't always strictly distinguish between a module M and its isomorphism class, for reasons of simplicity.

Theorem 3
Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a noetherian, local, complete ring and M a noetherian R-module. If M has a Cohen-Macaulayfication, it has (up to an M-isomorphism) only one Cohen-Macaulayfication, namely $(G_2 \circ F_2)(M)$.
Proof:
Let $\tilde{M}$ be a Cohen-Macaulayfication of M. We consider the short exact sequence $0 \to M \to \tilde{M} \to \tilde{M}/M \to 0$ and its long exact cohomology sequence induced be applying $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}$: Because of condition (iii) of the definition of a Cohen-Macaulayfication we get a canonical isomorphism $\mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\dim(M)}(M) = \mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\dim(M)}(\tilde{M}) \overset{\text{(ii)}}{=} \mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\dim(\tilde{M})}(\tilde{M})$ and therefore $\tilde{M} = (G_2 \circ F_2)(\tilde{M}) = (G_2 \circ F_2)(M)$.

Remark
Goto (cf. [6]) has shown: If $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ is a noetherian, local, d-dimensional ring with total quotient ring $Q(A)$, the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) There is a Cohen-Macaulay ring B between A and $Q(A)$ such that B is finitely generated as an A-module, $\dim(B_{\mathfrak{n}}) = d$ for every maximal ideal $\mathfrak{n}$ of B and $\mathfrak{m} \cdot B \subseteq A$.
(ii) A is a Buchsbaum ring (for details on Buchsbaum rings see [17]) and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(A) = 0$ for $i \neq 1, d$.
In this case, if $d \geq 2$, B is uniquely determined and Goto ([6]) calls it the Cohen-Macaulayfication of A.

Remark
Cohen-Macaulayfication in our sense is a generalization of Goto's concept of Cohen-Macaulayfication:

Theorem 4
Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a noetherian, local, complete ring, and assume that the Cohen-Macaulayfication B of R (in the sense of Goto) exists. Then B is also a Cohen-Macaulayfication in our sense.
Proof:
Because of $\mathfrak{m} \cdot B \subseteq R$ we have $\mathfrak{m} \cdot (B/R) = 0$, which implies that B/R is a finite-dimensional $R/\mathfrak{m}$-vector space. Because of $d = \dim(R) \geq 2$ we must have $\mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{d-1}(B/R) = \mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{d}(B/R) = 0$.

Remark
In particular if $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ is a noetherian, local, complete Buchsbaum-ring of dimension $d \geq 2$ such that $\mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{i}(R) = 0$ for $i \neq 1, d$, the R-module R has a Cohen-Macaulayfication.

Example
An easy example is given by $R = k[[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]]/(x_1, x_2) \cap (x_3, x_4)$. In the sense of Goto as well as in our sense R has a Cohen-Macaulayfication given by $(k[[x_1, \ldots, x_4]]/(x_1, x_2)) \oplus (k[[x_1, \ldots, x_4]]/(x_3, x_4))$; this can be seen either directly or by remarking that R is a 2-dimensional Buchsbaum ring with $\mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{i}(R) = 0$ for $i \neq 1, 2$.

Open question
Let M be a given noetherian R-module. Under what conditions is $G_2(F_2(M))$ a Cohen-Macaulayfication of M? Or, equivalently (by theorem 3), when does M have a Cohen-Macaulayfication?

References

1. Bass, H. On the ubiquity of Gorenstein rings, *Math. Z.* **82**, (1963) 8-28.
2. Brodmann, M. and Hellus, M. Cohomological patterns of coherent sheaves over projective schemes, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* **172**, (2002) 165-182.
3. Brodmann, M. P. and Sharp, R. J. Local Cohomology, *Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics* **60**, (1998).
4. Bruns, W. and Herzog, J. Cohen-Macaulay Rings, *Cambridge University Press*, (1993).
5. Eisenbud, D. Commutative Algebra with A View Toward Algebraic Geometry, *Springer Verlag*, (1995).
6. Goto, S. On the Cohen-Macaulayfication of certain Buchsbaum rings, *Nagoya Math. J.* Vol. **80**, (1980) 107-116.
7. Grothendieck, A. Local Cohomology, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer Verlag*, (1967).
8. Hellus, M. On the set of associated primes of a local cohomology module, *J. Algebra* **237**, (2001) 406-419.
9. Hellus, M. On the associated primes of Matlis duals of top local cohomology modules, to appear in *Communications in Algebra.*
10. Huneke, C. Problems on Local Cohomology, *Res. Notes Math.* **2**, (1992).
11. Matlis, E. Injective modules over Noetherian rings, *Pacific J. Math.* **8**, (1958) 511-528.
12. Matsumura, H. Commutative ring theory, *Cambridge University Press*, (1986).
13. Melkersson, L. Some applications of a criterion for artinianness of a module, *J. Pure and Appl. Alg.* **101**, (1995) 293-303.
14. Ooishi, A. Matlis duality and width of a module, *Hiroshima Math. J.* **6**, (1976) 573-587.
15. Roberts R. N. Krull dimension for Artinian modules over quasi local commutative rings, *Quart. J. Math. (Oxford)(3)* **26**, (1975) 269-273.
16. Scheja, G. and Storch, U. Regular Sequences and Resultants, *AK Peters*, (2001).

17. Stückrad, J. and Vogel, W. Buchsbaum Rings and Applications. *VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin, Germany.*

18. Tang Z. M. Local Homology and Local Cohomology, *Algebra Colloquium* **11**:4, (2004) 467-476.

19. Tang Z. M. Local homology theory for Artinian modules, *Comm. Alg.* **22**, (1994) 2173-2204.